

## YOUR THANKSGIVING TURKEY

Fat native Turkeys are not plentiful.  
Give us your order before Saturday of this week  
We will take good care of you.

### SOMERS

**H. T. MILLER**  
**School for Dancing**  
28 OAK STREET  
Telephone 1082

1814-1914  
**John A. Morgan & Son**  
**COAL**  
LEHIGH VALLEY  
No. 2 Nut \$5.50 per ton.  
Office and Yard Central Wharf  
Telephone 884.

**Photo Framers**  
make a very acceptable gift. Be sure and look over the line we have in both Sterling Silver and Plate.  
Prices low, quality best.  
The layout - adden C.  
Established 1872  
Plant-Cadden Building

Order It Now by Phone 136-5  
Trommer's Evergreen, per case \$1.70  
Ropkin's Light Dinner Ale, per case \$1.40  
Koehler's Pillner, per case \$1.25  
Free Delivery to all parts of the city  
**H. JACKEL & CO.**

**SUPPLIES**  
for Plumbers, Steam Fitters and Mills  
The Norwich Plumbing Supply House  
Phone 13, Central Wharf

Call Up 734  
**WHAT YOU GET**  
In PLUMBING is more important than what you pay. If we install the PLUMBING you're sure of the RIGHT system at a moderate price.  
**A. J. Wholy & Co.**  
12 Ferry Street

**T. F. BURNS**  
Heating and Plumbing  
92 Franklin Street

**Robert J. Cochran**  
GAS FITTING,  
PLUMBING, STEAM FITTING,  
10 West Main Street, Norwich, Conn.  
Agent for N. B. O. Sheet Packing.

**MODERN PLUMBING**  
is as essential in modern house as electricity is to lighting. We guarantee the very best PLUMBING WORK by expert workmen at the fairest prices.  
Ask us for plans and prices.

**J. F. TOMPKINS**  
67 West Main Street  
**IRON CASTINGS**  
FURNISHED PROMPTLY BY  
**THE VAUGHN FOUNDRY CO.**  
No. 11 to 25 Ferry Street

## The Bulletin

Norwich, Saturday, Nov. 21, 1914.

### VARIOUS MATTERS

Some of the idle men who fringe the street corners are being hired for winter farm help.

The Providence automobile show has attracted a number of local visitors this week.

Unusually windy weather has made a bad week of it for fishermen in the shore towns of the county.

Walter R. Denison of Groton delivered a lecture Friday night at the Gales Ferry Methodist church.

Today (Saturday) is the Feast of the Presentation of the Blessed Virgin Mary in the Catholic churches.

Friday at 8 o'clock in St. Patrick's church Rev. J. H. Broderick sang a memorial mass for Mrs. Julia Nolan.

There is a possibility of the formation in Boston of a Norwich society similar to that organized in New York.

The submarines K-1 and K-5 are at New London and will remain several weeks, except when they are engaging in diving practice.

Next Sunday is the last Sunday after Pentecost. The following Sunday is the first Sunday in Advent, the beginning of the ecclesiastical year.

A part of the big barge that drifted ashore near White Beach last week floated against the dock at that place Thursday and broke off several plies.

A Norwich man who was in Worcester Friday stated that from 13 to 16 inches of snow covered the streets there as a result of Thursday's storm.

All kinds of Xmas work done at Woman's Exchange. Order cake and pastry for Thanksgiving—Adv.

Norwich musicians engaged at the Economic House company fair in New London evenings this week are John M. Swahn, John Kukla and William B. Young.

Rev. William A. Keefe of Plainfield is to deliver a lecture at St. Joseph's parochial hall, Monday evening, next under the auspices of All Hallow's council, No. 270, K. of C.

A Lord's Point visitor states that in a sheltered spot on the lawn at Craig-y-mor rosebuds are still fresh and bursting into bloom and Mrs. Pond's ice plants seem to flourish in the cold.

Mr. and Mrs. H. C. Rowe of Eastern Point, left Thursday for a winter trip to Daytona, Fla. They take Miss Ellen Nordfeldt of Groton, who is employed as Mr. Rowe's secretary, as a guest.

The county commissioners in session at New London Friday granted five licenses to approximately 30 dealers there, less than a third have appeared before the board and received their license.

Governor-elect Holcomb has appointed William Malbone of Granby to be executive secretary and he will enter upon his duties with the governor on the 6th of January next. Mr. Malbone is a lawyer.

An extra force of operators, trackmen and crews will be placed on the Shore Line between New London and New Haven today known as an emergency force, and will remain until the last train leaves New Haven after the game.

Principal Henry A. Tirrell of the Norwich Free Academy addressed the St. James' Men's club of St. James' Episcopal church, New London, at the monthly meeting Thursday evening at the parish house on Some Odd Things About Numbers.

The mill at Kennedy City, Central Village, is getting busy again in the manufacture of lamp wicks, and plans are being made to begin the manufacture of shooshoes of various colors, grades, etc., new machinery being put in for that purpose.

Miss Clara Samuelson, 20, daughter of Conrad and the late Inga Lotta Peterson Samuelson, of Middletown, died at a Hartford sanitarium Thursday morning. Miss Samuelson was born in Willimantic. Besides her father, she leaves three brothers.

Class No. 32 at the Central Baptist church, of which Mrs. C. C. Gilder-sleeve is president, has collected \$14 for material and about 40 members met Thursday afternoon to make wooden bandages and elderdown slippers to be sent with the Red Cross supplies to Belgium.

**RELIEF FUND OFFERING AT THANKSGIVING SERVICE**  
To Be Taken at Union Services of Christ and Trinity Churches.

Christ church and Trinity Episcopal church are to unite for a Thanksgiving service on Thanksgiving day, at 10:30 in the morning which will be held at Trinity church.

The sermon will be by Rev. R. R. Graham, rector of Christ church, and an offering will be taken for the Belgian war relief fund.

**FUNERALS**  
John T. Ryan

The funeral of John T. Ryan was held Friday morning at 9 o'clock from his late home, No. 71 Division street, with a mass in St. Patrick's church at 9:30 o'clock. Rev. A. Grumbly officiating. Mrs. F. L. Farrell rendered two hymns at the close of the mass.

The bearers were Daniel Desmond, John, and Florence Delaney, Robert McNeely, John and John Dunion, and burial took place in St. Mary's cemetery. There were a number of handsome floral offerings and the attendance was large. Undertaker M. Hourigan had charge of the arrangements.

**Joseph Heroux**  
Friday afternoon the funeral of Joseph Heroux was held from the chapel rooms of Undertakers Henry Allen & Son, Rev. E. S. Worcester officiating at the service. There were a number of handsome floral offerings and relatives and friends attended.

Burial was in the Yantic cemetery and a committal service was read at the grave by Rev. Mr. Worcester.

Mr. Heroux died in Freeport on November 18. He was an invalid for ten years past. Mr. Heroux was born in Thomdike, Mass., the son of George and Marie Duprez Heroux. Mr. Heroux, who was 57 years of age, leaves his wife and two sons, one of whom is a member of the navy, and the other resides in New York state.

Given Reception by Naugatuck Parishioners.

At Naugatuck, to which Rev. Theodore Bauck recently went from here as pastor of the German Lutheran church, Rev. Mr. Bauck and his wife have just been tendered a reception which occurred as a complete surprise to the new pastor by the numerous beautiful gifts they made to him and his wife at the reception.

### PERSONALS

Henry W. Tibbitts is to be a visitor in Providence on a business trip.

Miss Hattie Holt of East Great Plain is in New Haven attending the Yale-Harvard football game.

Pilot Daniel Dutton of the Central Village line has moved his family to Greenville from Central Village.

Miss Belle L. Strong of Colchester is visiting a few days with her sister, Mrs. John V. Reynolds, in Norwich.

Miss May Carroll and Mrs. Thomas Wallace of Norwich have been visiting their sister, Mrs. Jesse Toothill, of Sterling.

David R. Kinney of Norwich Town has been at Long Point looking after his property and incidentally arranging for a codfishing trip.

Misses Bertha and Minnie Greenberger of Norwich have been visiting Mrs. David Tolner Weidnerman of 38 Windsor avenue, Hartford.

Mr. and Mrs. Louis Conrad, Jr., have returned to Hallville from their vacation trip spent in New York and New Jersey. Mrs. Conrad was formerly Miss Ruth Eccleston. They are to reside at the bride's home.

Col. Edson S. Bishop, United States marshal for Connecticut from 1899 to 1911, a deputy U. S. marshal in that county under Sheriff O. N. Raymond in 1875, and under Sheriff George O. Jackson from 1897 to 1899, has been in Norwich this week.

**OBITUARY**  
Mrs. James Newcomb

In the death of Sarah Ann Weaver, in New London in her 90th year, another of New London's old residents passed away. Mrs. Newcomb was born at Gray's Hill, Conn., June 5, 1825, the daughter of the late Watson Arnold and Anna Turner Weaver. She was married in New London September 18, 1847, to James Newcomb, who was a dry goods merchant in New London. They were one of the few couples to celebrate a golden wedding anniversary, as their married life covered 67 years. Her husband retired from business in 1891 and died in 1908. Her brother, Henry C. Weaver, died in 1908. Mrs. Newcomb, both of New London, survived her. A grandson, Dr. James Edward Newcomb, a prominent physician of New York city, passed away two years ago.

Many friends and relatives mourn Mrs. Newcomb's loss. She will be greatly missed by the church, where she was a lifelong member, and by the many friends who were her life-long friends.

She was a devoted and efficient service long after deafness deprived her from active participation in church work. She was also prominently identified with the Indian school of the Memorial hospital and the Seaman's friend society.

**Thomas C. Beckwith**  
On Friday Thomas C. Beckwith died at his home in Long Island City at the age of 66 years. Mr. Beckwith was born in this city, the son of the late Charles H. and Esther Beckwith, and for some time was employed as an engineer on the Norwich and Worcester railroad. He was a native of New York about 20 years ago and recently moved to Long Island City, where he was engaged in the metal bedding business. Mr. Beckwith was twice married, his first wife being Henrietta Harris, sister of Alonzo H. Harris. Following her death he was united in marriage with Rich, sister of the late George W. Rich of this city.

Mr. Beckwith went to the Civil war in a Connecticut regiment and he was a member of the Grand Army of the Republic. Mr. Beckwith had a daughter, a son by his second marriage, George Beckwith, who resides in Long Island City. They have two daughters by his first wife, Mrs. Frank E. Packham and Mrs. Frederick Ellis, both of Norwich. Mr. Beckwith buried a son here about two years ago.

**Samuel Raphael**  
Samuel Raphael, who had been in failing health for a year past, died about 5 o'clock Friday afternoon at his home, No. 128 Laurel Hill avenue, where he lived with his sisters. His condition had lately become serious with dropsical trouble.

Mr. Raphael, who was 64, was born in New York city, the son of the late Ellis and Rosetta Raphael. He had followed the occupation of a cigar maker most of his life, learning the trade with his father. He was a hale and hearty man when he left this city and worked at his trade in various parts of the west, at which time he met his wife. He was also employed in Troy and in New Haven, where 5 years ago he became afflicted with mental trouble and returned to this city, where his home has been since, and where he has been connected with the cigar business of E. Raphael & Son. He was one of the earliest members of the first cigar makers' union organized in New York. Mr. Raphael is survived by these brothers and sisters: Mrs. Anna Kemper of New York, Henry Raphael of New Haven, Max Raphael of New York and Israel Raphael, Mrs. Fanny Plummer, Mrs. Sarah Asher, Mrs. Nelson E. Church, Miss James Raphael and Ruby Raphael, all of Norwich.

**Mrs. Peter J. McNamara.**  
Following a brief illness, the death of Eliza T. Callahan, the wife of Peter McNamara, occurred Friday evening about 8 o'clock at her home, No. 162 Broadway. Mrs. McNamara, who was born in Ireland, was the widow of Felix Callahan, and Mary O'Neill, and she was married to Peter J. McNamara on January 26, 1876, to Peter J. McNamara of this city.

She was married by her husband, Mr. Laren, Miss Annie McNamara, and Miss Susan McNamara, and by one son, John, all residents of Norwich. She was also a grandchild of the late Laren, Jr., two sisters, Miss Susan Callahan and Miss Bridget Callahan of Norwich and one brother, Patrick, of Worcester.

Mrs. McNamara's life was an exemplary one with his chief interest centering in her home life, where her admirable traits of character were best displayed.

**State Board Helping Farmers**  
The state board of agriculture is doing much to assist the farmers of Connecticut to practice advanced agricultural culture. It is having many inquiries in regard to farm assistance. Arrangements have been made so that members of the board of operations have been engaged by going to the farmers and giving them the information they desire. Most of these inquiries come from farmers or city people, owners of farms, who desire information or advice in regard to the management of their farm operations. Most of these inquiries relate to dairy-farming and fruit raising. This is a form of extension work, but is not in conflict with any other department in the state.

L. H. Healey, secretary of the board, has under preparation a bulletin which will soon be issued, giving a short article upon the subject of dairy-farming and a recorded list of the owners of the same. The annual report will also soon be ready for distribution.

For the last five years this country has used from 63 to 67 per cent of the copper it produced.

## APPEALS COMPENSATION FUNDING

Thames Towboat Co. in Case of Two Men Drowned Off New Jersey Coast Last April—Act Declared Unconstitutional—Error Claimed in Awarding Funeral Expenses Without Showing That Bodies Were Found—Ten Reasons of Appeal.

Appeals from the findings and awards by Compensation Commission—The constitution of the United States had no power to enact the act, because thereby the general assembly usurps the power of the superior court, and also attempts to vest the judicial power of the state in the compensation commissioner; and that the State of Connecticut is further prohibited by the Fourteenth amendment to the constitution of the United States from making or enforcing any law which shall deprive any person of life, liberty or property without due process of law and from denying any person the equal protection of law.

The appeal also disputes the award of \$100 for burial expenses in each case on the ground that it did not appear that the body of the deceased was ever recovered from the water and it did not appear that any burial expenses occurred, or if incurred, that they had amounted to \$100.

It is further claimed that the fatal injury was the result of the serious and wilful misconduct of the deceased. Others of the ten reasons for appeal relate to the finding regarding dependence upon the deceased by the petitioner and to other points in which it is claimed the compensation commissioner erred.

The claim is also made that the compensation act is unconstitutional, because under several sections of the constitution of the United States the general assembly of Connecticut had no power to enact the act, because thereby the general assembly usurps the power of the superior court, and also attempts to vest the judicial power of the state in the compensation commissioner; and that the State of Connecticut is further prohibited by the Fourteenth amendment to the constitution of the United States from making or enforcing any law which shall deprive any person of life, liberty or property without due process of law and from denying any person the equal protection of law.

The appeal also disputes the award of \$100 for burial expenses in each case on the ground that it did not appear that the body of the deceased was ever recovered from the water and it did not appear that any burial expenses occurred, or if incurred, that they had amounted to \$100.

It is further claimed that the fatal injury was the result of the serious and wilful misconduct of the deceased. Others of the ten reasons for appeal relate to the finding regarding dependence upon the deceased by the petitioner and to other points in which it is claimed the compensation commissioner erred.

The claim is also made that the compensation act is unconstitutional, because under several sections of the constitution of the United States the general assembly of Connecticut had no power to enact the act, because thereby the general assembly usurps the power of the superior court, and also attempts to vest the judicial power of the state in the compensation commissioner; and that the State of Connecticut is further prohibited by the Fourteenth amendment to the constitution of the United States from making or enforcing any law which shall deprive any person of life, liberty or property without due process of law and from denying any person the equal protection of law.

The appeal also disputes the award of \$100 for burial expenses in each case on the ground that it did not appear that the body of the deceased was ever recovered from the water and it did not appear that any burial expenses occurred, or if incurred, that they had amounted to \$100.

It is further claimed that the fatal injury was the result of the serious and wilful misconduct of the deceased. Others of the ten reasons for appeal relate to the finding regarding dependence upon the deceased by the petitioner and to other points in which it is claimed the compensation commissioner erred.

The claim is also made that the compensation act is unconstitutional, because under several sections of the constitution of the United States the general assembly of Connecticut had no power to enact the act, because thereby the general assembly usurps the power of the superior court, and also attempts to vest the judicial power of the state in the compensation commissioner; and that the State of Connecticut is further prohibited by the Fourteenth amendment to the constitution of the United States from making or enforcing any law which shall deprive any person of life, liberty or property without due process of law and from denying any person the equal protection of law.

The appeal also disputes the award of \$100 for burial expenses in each case on the ground that it did not appear that the body of the deceased was ever recovered from the water and it did not appear that any burial expenses occurred, or if incurred, that they had amounted to \$100.

It is further claimed that the fatal injury was the result of the serious and wilful misconduct of the deceased. Others of the ten reasons for appeal relate to the finding regarding dependence upon the deceased by the petitioner and to other points in which it is claimed the compensation commissioner erred.

The claim is also made that the compensation act is unconstitutional, because under several sections of the constitution of the United States the general assembly of Connecticut had no power to enact the act, because thereby the general assembly usurps the power of the superior court, and also attempts to vest the judicial power of the state in the compensation commissioner; and that the State of Connecticut is further prohibited by the Fourteenth amendment to the constitution of the United States from making or enforcing any law which shall deprive any person of life, liberty or property without due process of law and from denying any person the equal protection of law.

The appeal also disputes the award of \$100 for burial expenses in each case on the ground that it did not appear that the body of the deceased was ever recovered from the water and it did not appear that any burial expenses occurred, or if incurred, that they had amounted to \$100.

It is further claimed that the fatal injury was the result of the serious and wilful misconduct of the deceased. Others of the ten reasons for appeal relate to the finding regarding dependence upon the deceased by the petitioner and to other points in which it is claimed the compensation commissioner erred.

The claim is also made that the compensation act is unconstitutional, because under several sections of the constitution of the United States the general assembly of Connecticut had no power to enact the act, because thereby the general assembly usurps the power of the superior court, and also attempts to vest the judicial power of the state in the compensation commissioner; and that the State of Connecticut is further prohibited by the Fourteenth amendment to the constitution of the United States from making or enforcing any law which shall deprive any person of life, liberty or property without due process of law and from denying any person the equal protection of law.

The appeal also disputes the award of \$100 for burial expenses in each case on the ground that it did not appear that the body of the deceased was ever recovered from the water and it did not appear that any burial expenses occurred, or if incurred, that they had amounted to \$100.

It is further claimed that the fatal injury was the result of the serious and wilful misconduct of the deceased. Others of the ten reasons for appeal relate to the finding regarding dependence upon the deceased by the petitioner and to other points in which it is claimed the compensation commissioner erred.

The claim is also made that the compensation act is unconstitutional, because under several sections of the constitution of the United States the general assembly of Connecticut had no power to enact the act, because thereby the general assembly usurps the power of the superior court, and also attempts to vest the judicial power of the state in the compensation commissioner; and that the State of Connecticut is further prohibited by the Fourteenth amendment to the constitution of the United States from making or enforcing any law which shall deprive any person of life, liberty or property without due process of law and from denying any person the equal protection of law.

The appeal also disputes the award of \$100 for burial expenses in each case on the ground that it did not appear that the body of the deceased was ever recovered from the water and it did not appear that any burial expenses occurred, or if incurred, that they had amounted to \$100.

It is further claimed that the fatal injury was the result of the serious and wilful misconduct of the deceased. Others of the ten reasons for appeal relate to the finding regarding dependence upon the deceased by the petitioner and to other points in which it is claimed the compensation commissioner erred.

The claim is also made that the compensation act is unconstitutional, because under several sections of the constitution of the United States the general assembly of Connecticut had no power to enact the act, because thereby the general assembly usurps the power of the superior court, and also attempts to vest the judicial power of the state in the compensation commissioner; and that the State of Connecticut is further prohibited by the Fourteenth amendment to the constitution of the United States from making or enforcing any law which shall deprive any person of life, liberty or property without due process of law and from denying any person the equal protection of law.

The appeal also disputes the award of \$100 for burial expenses in each case on the ground that it did not appear that the body of the deceased was ever recovered from the water and it did not appear that any burial expenses occurred, or if incurred, that they had amounted to \$100.

It is further claimed that the fatal injury was the result of the serious and wilful misconduct of the deceased. Others of the ten reasons for appeal relate to the finding regarding dependence upon the deceased by the petitioner and to other points in which it is claimed the compensation commissioner erred.

The claim is also made that the compensation act is unconstitutional, because under several sections of the constitution of the United States the general assembly of Connecticut had no power to enact the act, because thereby the general assembly usurps the power of the superior court, and also attempts to vest the judicial power of the state in the compensation commissioner; and that the State of Connecticut is further prohibited by the Fourteenth amendment to the constitution of the United States from making or enforcing any law which shall deprive any person of life, liberty or property without due process of law and from denying any person the equal protection of law.

The appeal also disputes the award of \$100 for burial expenses in each case on the ground that it did not appear that the body of the deceased was ever recovered from the water and it did not appear that any burial expenses occurred, or if incurred, that they had amounted to \$100.

It is further claimed that the fatal injury was the result of the serious and wilful misconduct of the deceased. Others of the ten reasons for appeal relate to the finding regarding dependence upon the deceased by the petitioner and to other points in which it is claimed the compensation commissioner erred.

The claim is also made that the compensation act is unconstitutional, because under several sections of the constitution of the United States the general assembly of Connecticut had no power to enact the act, because thereby the general assembly usurps the power of the superior court, and also attempts to vest the judicial power of the state in the compensation commissioner; and that the State of Connecticut is further prohibited by the Fourteenth amendment to the constitution of the United States from making or enforcing any law which shall deprive any person of life, liberty or property without due process of law and from denying any person the equal protection of law.

The appeal also disputes the award of \$100 for burial expenses in each case on the ground that it did not appear that the body of the deceased was ever recovered from the water and it did not appear that any burial expenses occurred, or if incurred, that they had amounted to \$100.

It is further claimed that the fatal injury was the result of the serious and wilful misconduct of the deceased. Others of the ten reasons for appeal relate to the finding regarding dependence upon the deceased by the petitioner and to other points in which it is claimed the compensation commissioner erred.

The claim is also made that the compensation act is unconstitutional, because under several sections of the constitution of the United States the general assembly of Connecticut had no power to enact the act, because thereby the general assembly usurps the power of the superior court, and also attempts to vest the judicial power of the state in the compensation commissioner; and that the State of Connecticut is further prohibited by the Fourteenth amendment to the constitution of the United States from making or enforcing any law which shall deprive any person of life, liberty or property without due process of law and from denying any person the equal protection of law.

The appeal also disputes the award of \$100 for burial expenses in each case on the ground that it did not appear that the body of the deceased was ever recovered from the water and it did not appear that any burial expenses occurred, or if incurred, that they had amounted to \$100.

It is further claimed that the fatal injury was the result of the serious and wilful misconduct of the deceased. Others of the ten reasons for appeal relate to the finding regarding dependence upon the deceased by the petitioner and to other points in which it is claimed the compensation commissioner erred.

The claim is also made that the compensation act is unconstitutional, because under several sections of the constitution of the United States the general assembly of Connecticut had no power to enact the act, because thereby the general assembly usurps the power of the superior court, and also attempts to vest the judicial power of the state in the compensation commissioner; and that the State of Connecticut is further prohibited by the Fourteenth amendment to the constitution of the United States from making or enforcing any law which shall deprive any person of life, liberty or property without due process of law and from denying any person the equal protection of law.

The appeal also disputes the award of \$100 for burial expenses in each case on the ground that it did not appear that the body of the deceased was ever recovered from the water and it did not appear that any burial expenses occurred, or if incurred, that they had amounted to \$100.

It is further claimed that the fatal injury was the result of the serious and wilful misconduct of the deceased. Others of the ten reasons for appeal relate to the finding regarding dependence upon the deceased by the petitioner and to other points in which it is claimed the compensation commissioner erred.

The claim is also made that the compensation act is unconstitutional, because under several sections of the constitution of the United States the general assembly of Connecticut had no power to enact the act, because thereby the general assembly usurps the power of the superior court, and also attempts to vest the judicial power of the state in the compensation commissioner; and that the State of Connecticut is further prohibited by the Fourteenth amendment to the constitution of the United States from making or enforcing any law which shall deprive any person of life, liberty or property without due process of law and from denying any person the equal protection of law.

The appeal also disputes the award of \$100 for burial expenses in each case on the ground that it did not appear that the body of the deceased was ever recovered from the water and it did not appear that any burial expenses occurred, or if incurred, that they had amounted to \$100.

It is further claimed that the fatal injury was the result of the serious and wilful misconduct of the deceased. Others of the ten reasons for appeal relate to the finding regarding dependence upon the deceased by the petitioner and to other points in which it is claimed the compensation commissioner erred.

The claim is also made that the compensation act is unconstitutional, because under several sections of the constitution of the United States the general assembly of Connecticut had no power to enact the act, because thereby the general assembly usurps the power of the superior court, and also attempts to vest the judicial power of the state in the compensation commissioner; and that the State of Connecticut is further prohibited by the Fourteenth amendment to the constitution of the United States from making or enforcing any law which shall deprive any person of life, liberty or property without due process of law and from denying any person the equal protection of law.

The appeal also disputes the award of \$100 for burial expenses in each case on the ground that it did not appear that the body of the deceased was ever recovered from the water and it did not appear that any burial expenses occurred, or if incurred, that they had amounted to \$100.

It is further claimed that the fatal injury was the result of the serious and wilful misconduct of the deceased. Others of the ten reasons for appeal relate to the finding regarding dependence upon the deceased by the petitioner and to other points in which it is claimed the compensation commissioner erred.

The claim is also made that the compensation act is unconstitutional, because under several sections of the constitution of the United States the general assembly of Connecticut had no power to enact the act, because thereby the general assembly usurps the power of the superior court, and also attempts to vest the judicial power of the state in the compensation commissioner; and that the State of Connecticut is further prohibited by the Fourteenth amendment to the constitution of the United States from making or enforcing any law which shall deprive any person of life, liberty or property without due process of law and from denying any person the equal protection of law.

The appeal also disputes the award of \$100 for burial expenses in each case on the ground that it did not appear that the body of the deceased was ever recovered from the water and it did not appear that any burial expenses occurred, or if incurred, that they had amounted to \$100.

## FOR SOUR STOMACH GAS OR INDIGESTION

Surely Use Mi-o-na—Immediate, Safe and Effective Relief or Money Refunded.

When you have heartburn, pains in the pit of the stomach, and taste sour and undigested food it's a sure sign your last meal is not digesting, but fermenting, causing poisonous gases, sourness and acid stomach. Such symptoms must not go unheeded, for they indicate indigestion, which will surely destroy your health and happiness.

Prompt and lasting relief for any stomach distress is a simple inexpensive matter if you will only try the harmless Mi-o-na prescription, easily obtained from any druggist.

Mi-o-na is especially prepared to neutralize excess acid, absorb the poisonous gases and stop fermentation which surely sours your food causing sick headache, dyspepsia, biliousness, bad dreams and nervous unrest.

Mi-o-na not only corrects sour, acid, and gassy stomach, but improves the entire digestive system so that the blood, nerves, muscles and all their receive increased nourishment causing clear complexion, bright eyes and that delightful sensation of feeling fit.

It's certainly madness for you to suffer any after-eating distress or stomach misery. Ask The Lee & Osgood for some Mi-o-na tablets, take them as directed, and if they do not quickly banish every sign of indigestion they will not cost you a penny.

shower baths sinks, etc. To the south of the reception hall is a room which may be used as a study room but which is ultimately designed for the women employees of the mill who will be privileged to enter their names on the membership roll. The entire building is electrically lighted and is steam-heated.

**WEDDING**  
Ely-Pollock

At 4 o'clock Friday afternoon at the Church of the Incarnation, Madison avenue and 55th street, New York city, Chaplain Ely, formerly of Norwich, was united in marriage with Mrs. Sarah Louise Carlot Pollock of Brooklyn, N. Y., by Rev. Dr. William A. Grosvenor, a brother of the bridegroom. The bride was a cousin of the bridegroom. Grosvenor, Ely of Norwich, a brother of the groom, was the matron of honor. The bride was charming in a gown of pale pink chiffon trimmed with white tulle. She carried a bouquet of pink roses and orchids. Her attendant wore a pale pink chiffon velvet with silver lace hat to match and silver slippers. She carried a bouquet of chrysanthemums. The ushers were Paul J. Wells of Scantons, Pa., Douglas B. Green of Pottsville, Pa., Thomas Blagden, Jr. of Washington, Francis James Walton of Brooklyn, Franklin W. Glendon